1	CITY OF NORTH CANTON, OHIO
2	
3	
4	IN RE:
5	NORTH CANTON ) COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE )
6	VIRTUAL MEETING )  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Transcript of Proceedings held virtually before
13	North Canton City Council, taken by the undersigned,
14	Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional Reporter
15	and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the
16	offices of North Canton City Hall, 145 North Main
17	Street, North Canton, Ohio, on Monday, the 5th day of
18	April, 2021, at 7:00 p.m.
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	Premier Court Reporting Canton 330.492.4221 Akron 330.928.1418
25	www.premierreporters.com
	•

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Daniel Jeff Peters, Chairman
3	Douglas Foltz, Member
4	Stephanie Werren, Member
5	Daryl Revolt, Member
6	Mark Cerreta, Member
7	Dominic Fonte, Member
8	Matthew Stroia, Member
9	Stephan B. Wilder, Mayor
10	Patrick DeOrio, Director of Administration
11 12	Catherine Farina, Deputy Director of Administration
13	Jina Alaback, Director of Finance
14	Robert Graham, PE
15	Martin B. Van Gundy, IV, Chief Building Officer
16	Benjamin Young, Council Clerk
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. YOUNG: I'm going to go live.
2	MR. PETERS: All right. Ben, let me know
3	when you are ready.
4	MR. YOUNG: Whenever you want.
5	MR. PETERS: All right. Thank you, sir.
6	At this time, I'd like to call the North
7	Canton Committee of the Whole meeting
8	April 5th, 2021. The time is 7:00 p.m to
9	order.
10	Ben, would you please call the roll?
11	MR. YOUNG: Member Fonte.
12	MR. FONTE: Present and here.
13	MR. YOUNG: Member Revoldt.
14	MR. REVOLDT: Here.
15	MR. YOUNG: Member Werren.
16	MS. WERREN: Here.
17	MR. YOUNG: Member Foltz.
18	MR. FOLTZ: Here.
19	MR. YOUNG: Member Cerreta.
20	MR. CERRETA: Here.
21	MR. YOUNG: Member Peters.
22	MR. PETERS: Here.
23	MR. YOUNG: And Member Stroia.
24	MR. STROIA: Here.
25	MR. YOUNG: Seven present.

MR. PETERS: All right. Thank you, sir.

First up is community and economic

development. Chairman Revoldt, you are up.

MR. REVOLDT: Thank you very much. We

MR. REVOLDT: Thank you very much. We have a request from the administration to amend our DORA ordinance. You will see the map. This is a much reduced area that's covered. It's actually not far from what we had discussed when we adopted the DORA.

Patrick, this came from the administration. Do you want to -- do you want to discuss this?

MR. DEORIO: Yes. In looking at these events that are coming forward here shortly -- I think we have a 720 Market Group event in mid-May, so about six weeks out -- we have -- working with the Council Clerk have found that there is an additional permit holder in the center of town, Sapphire City. So we thought that with the bulk of the activity right here from Bachtel, say, up to the library, we could shrink the district this first year of operation down to that, really where the -- where all the action, you know, really is, and see how that works.

1 And if it works well, we can keep it that 2 way. If it grows, we can deal with it, you 3 know, down the road. But we thought this would be a great first start. It certainly 4 5 lowers the -- the outlay for, you know, 6 sanitary receptacles and the signage. 7 I know we had Council -- we had asked for 8 and Council graciously budgeted, you know, 9 \$27,000 for that. But we were able to secure 10 what we needed for approximately \$9,000. So 11 we think that's a good -- good -- good 12 purchase. And it would have come in less if 13 we had expanded it all the way up anyway. 14 But we got a very good price on these black 15 stainless steel -- black steel receptacles. 16 So we would like to -- to shrink it to that, 17 if -- if Council is in agreement. 18 MR. FONTE: Patrick, it's Dom. MR. DEORIO: Yes, sir. 19 20 MR. FONTE: Okay. So remember when we 21 did this initially, we were saying -- and 22 Daryl talked about, and Mark -- you know, 23 this can be quickly adjusted, right? 24 MR. REVOLDT: Yep. 25 MR. FONTE: So this is an example of what

1 we are talking about. And just as well as 2 the -- the Main Street district expands and 3 things start happening, we can quickly adjust it down the road a little bit further. 4 MR. DEORTO: Uh-huh. 5 MR. REVOLDT: Dom, thank you. 6 That's exactly right. Well, let me -- let me -- let .7 me walk through a list. Mark, you are 8 Vice-Chairman of the committee. Do you have 9 any -- any observations? 10 MR. CERRETA: Yeah, I do. You know, I 11 have not been supportive of this because of 12 13 the cost in the past, too. I mean, \$27,000 for trash cans. Now we are down to 9-. 14 So here is my -- my questions, a couple 15 16 of this here. Do -- we got another -- sounds like we got another liquor license. Does 17 18 everybody have to approve of being part of this? Like I know Pav's, you can't go 19 outside with their liquor anyways, so how can 20 21 we include that? Did we ask El Rincon if 22 they want to do this? Secondly, why are we keeping this 23 Wednesday through Saturday? I could probably 24 25 have more support for this if we did this

1 designation when we want to. And the reason 2 I say that is because if you do this every 3 Wednesday through Saturday, now you got your 4 police people you are going to have to --5 every Wednesday or Saturday, somebody has to 6 be on the beat of the DORA. Right? Aren't 7 these things supposed to be paying for extra 8 police of this all the time? 9 And at the same point, you have to put 10 these trash cans out Wednesday through -- or 11 we leave them out there, and I'm not real 12 excited about trash cans up and down Main 13 Street, even if it's only this little piece. 14 If you just designate special times, we 15 know way ahead what the times are for this. 16 This is not, you know, rocket science. 17 we can put these trash cans out when we want, 18 rather than have these things out there all 19 the time just collecting whatever, and our 20 guys have to go empty them weekly, I would 21 expect. So --22 Hey, Mark. MR. FONTE: 23 MR. CERRETA: Yeah. 24 MR. FONTE: I was just -- like this is 25 part of what I'm getting at with the comment

I made earlier, is -- like you are right,
what you say may be exactly true, and we can
pull it back and do like what you said. But
right now, you know, we shrunk it way back to
make it more manageable. Let's test it out,
see how it goes, and then if it doesn't seem
like it's clicking right or it looks junky or
we're not feeling it, then let's just back it
up to what -- you know, on special occasions
or whatever.

MR. CERRETA: Well, that's my point; you

MR. CERRETA: Well, that's my point; you are going to have someone have to police it every Wednesday through Saturday. Is that extra police stuff that nobody has bought into this yet? Who's going to pay for that? In the -- in the DORA legislation, it says the people that -- the businesses are supposed to be paying for that. Good luck with that.

MR. FONTE: Well, maybe in the beginning you won't have much of that, really. I don't see it being overwhelming --

MR. CERRETA: I don't see any reason why you can't just make this on special events to start this thing out. I think it's a little

bit overkill right now for something we don't even have going on. Now, I understand around the Hoover District, you know, some day that gets going, that's going to be -- hopefully that will be more the kind of thing that we want like this.

But -- so anyways, that's my -- Daryl asked for my opinion. That's my opinion.

I'd like to make this only special -- during special events time, that way we don't have to have constant police every week and trash cans out every week when we have got nothing going on for months at a time.

MR. DEORIO: All right. So I would like to respond to a couple, you know, points there, just to make sure we are accurate. Yes, we have contacted the restaurants. They were in support of the DORA. The -- Pav's, yes, they would be able to take their adult beverage offsite. That's the purpose of the DORA, so that people could go out with it. Right now, that has to be -- as it's stated now, that has to be consumed inside.

The police department, you know, we have approved a -- a safety plan. But the safety

plan doesn't involve having people walk a 1 beat, hiring extra cops, bringing people in 2 on overtime to do that. So -- and that's not 3 done in any other -- any other DORA either. 4 But we -- we do have response mechanisms that 5 we can do there. 6 As far as the trash cans, you know, 7 bringing out, yes, I agree with Mark in this 8 sense, is that we would be putting them out 9 10 and they would be staying out. So that's not 11 something that we are going to pick them up, set them aside, bring them back out. We are 12 not going to do that. We would -- we would 13 14 be putting them out when the DORA season opens to the -- when the DORA season closes. 15 MR. REVOLDT: All right. Let's move on 16 down the list. Dom, I think we heard from 17 you. Stephanie, do you have any -- you want 18 to weigh in on this at all? Preference? 19 MS. WERREN: Nope, not on this one. 20 21 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. I like the new map. 22 MS. WERREN: MR. REVOLDT: Yeah, I do, too. 23 Doug? Jeff? Matt? 24 MR. PETERS: I'm good. 25

1 MR. FOLTZ: It's Foltz. Yeah. My only 2 weigh-in is hopefully we develop some kind of 3 activities to utilize the DORA, other than 4 obviously the concept is not get a beer at El 5 Rincon and walk up to the other restaurants, 6 you know, without something else going on, 7 whether it's our park or the Hoover complex. 8 I mean, these are first steps. 9 But, I mean, Mark makes good points, but 10 I also want us to have the flexibility to say 11 this is going to happen at some point where 12 it's a bigger venue than just obviously -- I 13 think that's what we are all thinking --14 walking between restaurants with an open 15 beer. That has to be the focus, or it's not 16 going to work. 17 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. 18 MR. FOLTZ: And maybe I'm stating the 19 obvious here, but it needs said. 20 MR. FONTE: I was just -- I was just 21 saying, again, this is ebb and flow. We can 22 kind of move and groove. But the thing is, 23 is we are trying to build our tool chest, and

this is one of the little extra things. It's

not the major thing. It's just an added

24

2.5

amenity. You know what I'm saying? So to 1 give these small businesses that got abused 2 all last year through this simple little 3 COVID exercise, we want to make sure they 4 know we are doing something as a City to try 5 to help these small businesses in our small 6 7 district. MR. YOUNG: To Member Foltz's point, I 8 9 can say that I have been contacted by the Chamber and the library asking me questions 10 about, oh, we'd like to do, you know, these 11 events this summer. How can we advertise 12 that the DORA is available for these events? 13 So, for example, the library is hoping to do 14 15 their concert series during the summer. would like to advertise, you know, go to The 16 Howlin Bird, get a glass of wine, and walk up 17 to our concert. 18 19 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Let's do this. Yeah, that's the point -- go ahead. I'm 20 21 sorry. MR. FONTE: Good point. 22 This is Stroia. I agree 23 MR. STROIA: with the cut-down map, and I think you kind 24

of have to put hours out there to show

1 prospective businesses that -- that it's 2 active. I mean, why have a DORA if it's not 3 going to be active? I think you have to at 4 least show the ability for it to be, you 5 know, taking place during certain hours and 6 certain places. 7 Right. Matt, not to mention MR. FONTE: 8 that we have a communication breakdown. It's 9 where we struggle to better communicate with 10 our constituents and our business owners, and 11 this is a prime example. We need to be 12 consistent with our message and test it out. 13 MR. STROIA: I agree. 14 MR. REVOLDT: All right. Let's -- let's 15 do this then, I think the consensus of the 16 group is to proceed with the language as 17 presented. Do I have -- do I have four to 18 proceed? I believe I do. 19 MR. STROIA: Yep. 20 MR. REVOLDT: So -- but what -- I'm going 21 to ask the Clerk to do this: Place on one of 22 our September Council of the Whole meetings a 23 quick DORA review, so we get a snapshot. 24 Let's just see where we are. So we'll have 25 six months --

1	MR. STROIA: Good idea.
2	MR. REVOLDT: six months of operation.
3	Let's see where we are. We'll have a formal
4	opportunity to to gauge whether it has
5	been successful or not. We can identify if
6	there are any hiccups or anything. It will
7	give us a chance to do it.
8	So, Ben, if you would pick a date in
9	sometime in early September, that would be
10	wonderful.
11	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
12	MR. STROIA: That's a good idea, Daryl.
13	MR. REVOLDT: Okay?
14	MR. YOUNG: If you we do still need a
15	motion and a vote, if you
16	MR. REVOLDT: Let me move that we place
17	this on the agenda. I'll move.
18	MR. STROIA: Stroia seconds.
19	MR. PETERS: All in favor?
20	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
21	MR. PETERS: Aye.
22	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
23	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
24	MS. WERREN: Aye.
25	MR. FONTE: Aye.

1	MR. STROIA: Aye.
2	MR. PETERS: Opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. PETERS: All right. Motion carries.
5	MR. REVOLDT: All right. Very good. All
6	right. Let's move on to item B, which is our
7	second century zoning. This is the code. We
8	have had an opportunity to work on this a
9	little bit. We have got some input from
10	members of Council. As I indicated to a
11	couple of you, what I'd like to do tonight is
12	see if we have any additional suggestions or
13	questions. And I'll walk through the list.
14	And then what I'd like to do is following
15	that discussion, place this back on the
16	agenda in two weeks to see if we have any
17	more questions or or or suggestions for
18	the language. We have had a pretty
19	productive conversation so far. Members have
20	taken advantage of our work sessions.
21	So with that, let me go let me start
22	with Vice-Chairman Cerreta to see if he has
23	anything new that he'd like to add
24	MR. CERRETA: Well
25	MR. REVOLDT: for tonight.

1	MR. CERRETA: Does this not include what
2	we went through I mean, we had a great
3	great session at City Hall I thought with
4	you know, with Pat and the administration and
5	the guys that were from the the people
6	that are putting this together, the
7	consultants.
8	So I had a lot of different things with
9	that. But are you asking for more than that
10	right now?
11	MR. REVOLDT: Yeah, I'm asking I I
12	don't want to revisit that conversation.
13	MR. CERRETA: Right. Yeah.
14	MR. REVOLDT: I'm just asking, is there
15	anything, Mark, that comes to mind since then
16	that needs or wants answered?
17	MR. CERRETA: Yeah, I had one question.
18	And I'm sorry I didn't bring it up when we
19	were at there. But on page 3, the schedule
20	permitted office, including administrative,
21	medical, business, and professional. And it
22	is under let me see here, on page 3. But
23	on there I think in the central area
24	there, it said on the upper floors.
25	Well, most medical things are not on

1	upper floors. You know, you have got a lot
2	of handicap people and older people. That
3	might be kind of something that we might want
4	to look at.
5	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Good catch.
6	MR. FONTE: An elevator? How about an
7	elevator? Do we have elevators?
8	MR. CERRETA: Sure. Sure. But we have
9	urgent cares or anything administrative like
10	that, just to just to restrict that
11	doesn't really make a whole lot of sense.
12	Those are good paying jobs. You know, we
13	want those anywhere you want to put those.
14	So medical and office supply or office
15	what did it say? Administrative, medical,
16	business, professionals, it had just the
17	upper floor on the Main Street central.
18	Maybe because we don't have enough room.
19	I'm not sure. But if some new building went
20	in, and it might, and there is no reason to
21	restrict it to just upper floor.
22	MS. WERREN: Yeah. Good catch.
23	MR. REVOLDT: Pat Pat, do you have
24	MR. STROIA: Good point.
25	MR. REVOLDT: or Marty, do you have

1	any insight?
2	MR. FONTE: Are we thinking retail at
3	all, guys, or what?
4	MR. REVOLDT: No. No. This is this
5	is that medical. We are asking the
6	MR. FONTE: Okay.
7	MR. REVOLDT: question about medical.
8	(No response.)
9	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. All right. Very
10	good. Dom, do you have anything to add?
11	MR. FONTE: I was just this is
12	something that I just was thinking about when
13	we were reflecting back on when Pav's came
14	and Acme wanted to do the light poles and we
15	have a limit of 20 feet. But, you know, in
16	Green, they did a 30-foot pole with the LEDs.
17	They are down light with really nice,
18	streamlined, efficient. And we weren't able
19	to accommodate them, which triggered the MSB,
20	before we went and went the whole nine
21	yards.
22	So the question is, is there should be
23	a provision in there somewhere that if we are
24	in a commercial to commercial atmosphere,
25	that they should be able to go 10 feet taller

1	to be able to show more distance and more
2	collection of light, so they have less cost
3	in material. And it would be a win-win,
4	because it would save energy. You know, it
5	would be more up to date. And it would save
6	the business owners money, too, because, you
7	know, we would be accommodating.
8	Do you guys remember that Acme thing when
9	they wanted to do that
10	MR. REVOLDT: Yeah.
11	MR. FONTE: a couple, three years ago?
12	MS. WERREN: Yep.
13	MR. REVOLDT: Marty, do you have any
14	MR. FONTE: So I went up and I went up
15	to Green, and if you did like I think a
16	couple of us might have went up to Green to
17	look, and they really look good. And the
18	issue was is flooding too much
19	floodlights. And the way these were
20	streamlined, they were very very nice.
21	And I think we should have a 10-foot
22	provision there; we can go to 30.
23	MR. REVOLDT: Okay.
24	MR. DEORIO: I'm sorry. I was my mike
25	was muted, and I I wanted to respond. I

	,
1	agree with Mark on that was a good catch.
2	MR. REVOLDT: That's a great catch.
3	Okay. So we'll make that change.
4	MR. FONTE: The lighting.
5	MR. REVOLDT: What do we need in terms of
6	Dom's question about lighting, Pat?
7	MR. DEORIO: I'll Marty, myself, and
8	Ben will get in touch with the consultant and
9	drill down on what is there, and then report
10	back to Council.
11	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Next week or next
12	Council of the Whole.
13	MR. DEORIO: We'll send an e-mail or
14	something to you guys.
15	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Perfect.
16	MR. PETERS: Hey, Daryl.
17	MR. FONTE: Pat
18	MR. PETERS: Hey, Daryl.
19	MR. REVOLDT: Yes.
20	MR. PETERS: If I could say something on
21	this. I regarding the lights. I would
22	agree with Dom. I did go up there and look
23	at the Acme up in Green
24	MR. REVOLDT: Uh-huh.
25	MR. PETERS: and it looks great. It

1	really does.
2	MR. FONTE: It is first class.
3	MR. PETERS: The light is not
4	overwhelming. It's not intrusive on the
5	neighbors. I think that would be a good add,
6	as well. And Dominic is right, it reduces
7	the cost of material, as well. You don't
8	need as many lights.
9	MR. FONTE: Lumber prices are up 170
10	MR. REVOLDT: I'll tell you what, if that
11	lighting is softer and it makes Dom look
12	better after dark, I'm all for it.
13	MR. FONTE: Hey, I'm not only me,
14	Daryl
15	MR. CERRETA: Don't get carried away.
16	MR. FONTE: Daryl, it makes you look ten
17	years younger. So you look like about it
18	will be 50 with the lights.
19	MR. REVOLDT: It's going to take at least
20	20 years off my face. Okay. So, Dom Dom,
21	that's what we're going to Pat Pat
22	will
23	MR. FONTE: Thank you.
24	MR. REVOLDT: He'll get back to us. Do
25	you have anything else?

J	
1	MR. FONTE: No. That's it.
2	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Steph, your your
3	turn. Anything you want to touch on at this
4	point?
5	MS. WERREN: No. I'm good. I thought
6	our session was good and made our suggestions
7	then.
8	MR. REVOLDT: Perfect. Doug
9	MS. WERREN: But I agree with the
10	lighting.
11	MR. REVOLDT: Yes.
12	MS. WERREN: We talked about that a long
13	time ago. Yep.
14	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. All right. I think
15	that message is loud and clear.
16	MS. WERREN: Yep.
17	MR. REVOLDT: Doug, do you have anything
18	on
19	MR. FOLTZ: Yeah. Thank you, Daryl. And
20	thanks for putting it on again in two weeks.
21	I did hear from a constituent that's
22	concerned about and it's something we
23	should look at the Charlotte property
24	zoned north north side of Portage is
25	zoned single family. I think the new maps

1 reflect that it's P and I, where existing 2 housing is now. The south side, as we know, 3 is two family and single family. So I think 4 there is a concern about the rest of those 5 residential houses there from Hillcrest, east 6 to -- to the old school there or Mary Evans 7 Kindergarten Center. The -- why is the 8 zoning changed now? I mean, how is that 9 going to be addressed? You know, right now, 10 like I said, it's zoned single family. 11 that's the question. 12 MR. DEORIO: Are you talking on the north 13 side of Portage, which would be the back 14 side --15 MR. FOLTZ: Yes. 16 MR. DEORIO: -- of the school property? 17 MR. FOLTZ: Yes. North side of Portage. 18 MR. DEORIO: Yeah. Well, many of those 19 are rentals, too. And I guess the way that 20 we were just -- the map was being drawn, we 21 were really just trying to take, you know, 22 what was in essence a city block, so to 23 speak, easily defined by, you know, a 24 boundary, and that, you know, 10 of the 11 25 and a half acres there are P and I for the

school. And it was just trying to make that all -- altogether.

I talked about this with Martin earlier today, and so we are going to go back and take a look at that. We are going to take a deep drill. We are going to talk with the --we have already spoken with the constituent. And we are going to pinpoint exactly which properties we are talking about. And --but our intent was not to do anything on the south side of Portage or west of Hillcrest.

MR. FOLTZ: Right.

MR. DEORIO: Right. Keep it confined to that -- that school property. But, yeah, so that's something that could be changed. Our intent was to, you know, not -- not have it -- have an adverse consequence to the -- to the homeowners. We actually were hoping that, you know, we would create more value for their property. So we are going to go back and look at that and we'll report back.

MR. FOLTZ: All right. I appreciate that. Thank you, Pat. That's -- that's the answer I was looking for. Daryl, thank you.

MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Very good. You are

1.4

1	welcome, Doug.
2	Jeff, anything that jumps out at you?
3	MR. PETERS: No. No. I'm good. Looks
4	good.
5	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Now, I know somebody
6	is being driven by tax crazy by tax time.
7	I hesitate to ask. But, Matt, is there
8	anything you want to opine about here?
9	MR. STROIA: No. I'm good. Everything
10	was covered in the work session, so I'm
11	I'm great with it.
12	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. All right.
13	Administration, do you have anything to add
14	at this point?
15	MR. DEORIO: No, sir.
16	MR. REVOLDT: All right. Well, then
17	we'll place this on the agenda in two weeks.
18	We'll see what else we collect. And then I
19	think the goal will be authorize some
20	legislation, a first reading at that time, if
21	that's agreeable. So we are not moving it
22	off to legislation this week. Back on the
23	agenda in two weeks.
24	MR. FONTE: Sounds good.
25	MR. REVOLDT: All right. Let's go to the

repeal of the existing Main Street Business 1 District. That's a pretty routine piece of 2 legislation that's the companion for item 3B. 3 Administration, do you have anything to add 4 at this time? 5 MR. DEORIO: No. 6 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Then we'll -- we'll 7 just tuck this into 3B -- or along with 3B 8 and we'll bring it back in two weeks, and if 9 anything has popped up, we'll ask maybe 10 Doug's -- maybe Doug's question might find a 11 home in that -- in that conversation. 12 And then item 3D is the new zoning map 13 itself, which creates the five districts. 14 Are there any questions or comments about the 15 16 new zoning map? And, again, this is -this -- this is -- actually is -- is the 17 companion for 3B in the code, which has 18 three -- the five districts. 19 MR. DEORIO: And to Doug Foltz's concern, 20 that would be -- you know, we'll look into 21 that, and it may require a change in the 22 zoning map, so that you have it. 23 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. All right. So we 24 back -- we'll be back with that, as well. 25

1	MR. FONTE: Patrick, quick question. How
2	long has this been in the making now?
3	MR. DEORIO: Two years.
4	MR. FONTE: Well, here we go, man. Let's
5	wrap this puppy up.
6	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Well, we'll get it
7	done right. Okay. Is there anything else
8	that we need to discuss with relationship to
9	3B, C, or D?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Keep the questions
12	and suggestions coming over the next two
13	weeks. And we'll be ready to go in two weeks
14	then. I think that's it, Mr. President.
15	MR. PETERS: All right. Daryl, thank
16	you, sir. I appreciate it.
17	MR. REVOLDT: Thank you.
18	MR. PETERS: Next up is finance and
19	property. Chairwoman Werren, you are up.
20	MS. WERREN: Okay. Jina and I talked
21	today and we do have a couple changes on a
22	couple different things that we need to look
23	at.
24	Jina, do you want to talk about we
25	wanted to talk about the \$20,000 donation for

the master plan, and increasing that for -no, I'm sorry, for the Dogwood Park project.
And then we needed to look at the \$3.36
million in funds, and maybe put that a little
bit on hold. Because we just don't know what
those funds are actually going to be used
for. Are we going to get them? And are we
going to distribute? You know, how -- what
does that mean and what does that look like?
And I think Jina and Patrick are going to be
getting on a call to hopefully explain that a
little bit more.

Jina, what else do you want to comment on that?

MS. ALABACK: Yes. You're -- well, you are absolutely right. I'd like -- we wanted to get ahead of -- so that we could have the three readings and be able to start spending the American Rescue Plan Act funds when they came in. But after reading a little bit more of the guidelines, which are still being defined and detailed, as far as what governments can invest in, the focal point is infrastructure, but they haven't exactly been defined yet.

So we kind of want to step back. We actually have until the end of December of 2024 to spend all of the money. So we have time. And we didn't want to miss on the three readings. We'll bring that back to you whenever we have identified some projects that are eligible going forward.

And, also, we don't know how much we are getting. I think we are supposed to get around 50 percent within 60 days, but then it may be a year before we get the other half of that. So we'll keep you up to date on that.

As far as the park expansion project, we have carryover funds in that number that was presented to you, consisting of \$50,000 that was actually for Bitzer Park. So we'd like to remove that from this donation -- or, I'm sorry, from this appropriation amendment. So the \$329,160.36 becomes \$279,160.36. And that will allocate the rest of the funds to finish the Dogwood Park project for 2021. Those were the two amendments we wanted to change on what was presented for you.

MS. WERREN: Any other questions?
(No response.)

1	MS. WERREN: Okay. I move that we put
2	that on the agenda then.
3	MR. REVOLDT: I'll second that. This is
4	Revoldt. I'll second.
5	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
6	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
7	MR. PETERS: Aye.
8	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
9	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
10	MS. WERREN: Aye.
11	MR. FONTE: Aye.
12	MR. STROIA: Aye.
13	MR. PETERS: Opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. PETERS: Okay. Motion carries. All
16	right. Thank you, Steph.
17	Next up, we have street and alley
18	committee. Chairman Fonte.
19	MR. FONTE: Yes. This is something we
20	have been talking about for a long time.
21	Mark and I had a lot of conversations about
22	the sidewalks. So I think now is the time to
23	make it a reality. So I talked to
24	administration a little bit to see if we can
25	do a little hybrid or an update on the

1 legislation.

So here is kind of my thinking, and then we can open it up to discuss. So we got the Main Street Business District. We got some tools in the tool bag, so we are starting to build the corridor in the sense that, you know, people that want to come and do business in North Canton, we are going to be more friendly. We are going to have things ready for them, so they can thrive, you know, over the next, you know, few years. Right?

So we want to make sure -- you know, we want people to be able to walk comfortably, stroll comfortably, ride, whatever we do. So I'd say the first thing I think we should do is -- we talked a little bit about the co-op program and then some things that we might just do on our own if the money is there.

And, you know, then there are some rules that we have for areas that don't have sidewalks, that if they meet two of the three criterions, then we can -- you know, money -- you know, if we have the money, to go ahead and do that.

So, like, usually each little pad of

concrete that you see on the sidewalk is like 5 by 5. So it's like 25 square foot. And if we had more volume type business being done, you have three options; you have -- you know, sometimes it's just slightly lifted and you can grind the edges. You can actually use a pump-up service instead of replacing it, or you might have somewhere maybe \$250 a pad to replace it, depending on the volume of it. Right?

So here is my thinking, you know, we have four quadrants in the City. So I'd like to see more of an aggressive plan, starting with the spine first or the -- you know, the backbone of the City, which is the Main Street Business District. Let us go ahead and address that, and see if we can get all those sidewalks taken care of right away or as soon as the money is available.

The second thing is we have to do a better job communicating to the constituents that we do have a co-op program, you know, if -- if they are aware of it, and if they choose to want to share 50/50, if the money is there, and they follow the basic criteria.

And then, you know, we -- between the water bill, the newsletter, social media, website, and other ways, we get the word out of what we are doing, so everybody understands it. And then in a year, if that doesn't work, then we just take the bull by the horns and go ahead and we just start replacing stuff as we need it.

So I'd do the Main Street first, and then we do the connecting streets that need it, and then we add maybe up one side of Glenwood, down Lindy Lane, connect Seventh Street up, and we start really aggressively going after this. And then what we do is then we do quadrants A, B, C, 1, 2, 3, 4, of going through, like say whoever the Council members are, or Council—at—large or the administration, if they notice things, or the code enforcement people, we can go ahead and, you know, we hire a contractor, you know, and

So I think as a City, we need to make the line item for either grant and/or a budget kind of like streets and alleys. We would have an area starting in the budget that we put so much aside, like say \$25,000 to start.

And then, you know, we -- between the water bill, the newsletter, social media,

. 1

2.4

have them just kind of take care of -- either they are having it pumped up, ground, or replaced.

And I -- and there is some sidebar stuff, like trees, utilities, and things like that that -- but I'm just saying broad stroke-wise, I'd like to see something really happen and happen starting now. So it's opened up to whoever would like to talk about it. Thank you.

MR. PETERS: Yeah, Dom, this is Peters here. I do have one comment regarding the sidewalks. And I don't know if it particularly goes to exactly what you are saying, but it occurs to me, with the -- and what Jina said, the American Rescue Plan money that we -- we're getting, a lot of that is for infrastructure. I'm assuming sidewalks could be included in that. That would be great. And if that's the case, that -- that eases some of the restrictions that we have had in the past, as far as funding these.

And one of the other thoughts, you know, we are in the midst of the Dogwood Park

1	remodel and expansion, highlighted by a
2	playground that is inclusive to handicap
3	MR. FONTE: Right.
4	MR. PETERS: or folks that have, you
5	know, limited accessibility. However, we
6	don't have a sidewalk on Seventh Street for
7	people to get there. They'd have to be in
8	the street. So
9	MR. FONTE: Right.
10	MR. PETERS: Just a thought, you know.
11	But yeah
12	MR. FONTE: No. I'm I know we were
13	we were talking about that and we put that on
14	pause. But, again, you know, we can kind of
15	systematically decide the priority. I always
16	think the Main Street is first, because
17	that's what we are focusing on to create our
18	main opportunity for the
19	MR. PETERS: Agreed.
20	MR. FONTE: We have been talking about
21	this for years. So let's make that happen
22	MR. PETERS: Absolutely.
23	MR. STROIA: I agree.
24	MR. FONTE: and then we look at the
25	connecting streets next, and then we go

through the neighborhood zones, you know, the four -- the four wards, and we -- we go ahead and take care of those.

Now, obviously, the whole idea was -- is not to eat it up with taxpayer money, but maybe there are special grants. Rob can talk on that. And then maybe it could be the assistance money we are talking about could be a possibility, and/or we just work it into the budget or possibly a little piece of gas tax or street tax or sewer tax or any tax, as we raise some of these fees, maybe a piece of that can go into the pot. Because, again, this is for the -- the use -- for the use, for the elderly, for the people that have disabilities, wheelchairs, strollers, whatever. We don't want to stumble and hurt ourself.

So I'm just saying I think we should get dialed in. And so that's really what my goal is. Instead of us not being able to do it, let's -- let's make it happen this time. Let's get her done.

MR. PETERS: Hey, Dom, if I could interject, and I -- I don't want to interrupt

1	real quick here, but you said something, for
2	the folks listening at home. You mentioned a
3	sewer tax. And I'm assuming that you are
4	probably referring to the rate increases that
5	we have been discussing. That isn't a tax
6	MR. FONTE: That's correct.
7	MR. PETERS: and we couldn't use that
8	money for anything other than sewer, water.
9	MR. FONTE: Okay.
10	MR. PETERS: Just a point of reference.
11	So
12	MR. FONTE: Yeah. Yeah. Well, I know
13	like we we have been replacing the
14	infrastructure. We have like I don't
15	know 3-, 400 we did probably in the last
16	two and a half years, which were the sewer
17	grates, where all the drains go. We have
18	been putting the pre-cast in, where the salt
19	ate away at the bricks. You know, that I
20	mean, part of that could be tied into the
21	sidewalks.
22	I don't know what what the latitude or
23	longitude is. That's more maybe Marty or Pat
24	or the Mayor, whoever; whoever has the ideas.
25	I'm just saying I just want to make something

happen, so I want to see if we can make that 1 happen. 2 MR. PETERS: Okay. 3 MR. FONTE: So we'll get creative, 4 however we need to do it. 5 MR. STROIA: Hey, Dom, this is Stroia. 6 Jeff, I definitely agree with your point 7 on -- on Seventh Street, especially with the 8 9 Dogwood Park coming, the expansion coming there. Have -- have you guys talked about a 10 walkability study? 11 MR. FONTE: I would say Pat -- Mark --12 Mark walks around everywhere. I'd say he's 13 our study. Mark, what do you have to say 14 15 about it? MR. STROIA: I do, too. But here is my 16 point, like, you know, if it gets to the 17 point of, you know, picking and choosing, you 18 19 might want to consider the points around the schools where the kids are walking to or the 2.0 main roads that are going to be walked more 21 before some, you know, trivial -- and I'm not 22 saying trivial, but some other back sidewalk 23 that's not going to get used as much. 24 there like some sort of --25

1	MR. FONTE: Well, that's what
2	
	MR. STROIA: Go ahead.
3	MR. FONTE: That's what I'm saying, Matt,
4	you know, like the Main Street is the main
5	thing. Right?
6	MR. STROIA: Sure. Yeah. Yeah.
7	MR. FONTE: And then the next thing would
8	be if you look at the Section 902.1, it
9	says the City may cause sidewalks to be
10	installed, and if funds are available if it
11	meets two of the following criteria: School
12	facility, government
13	MR. STROIA: Okay. Yeah.
14	MR. FONTE: parks, so on
15	MR. STROIA: That's good. I like that.
16	MR. FONTE: So, I mean, it's kind of all
17	in there. And basically, Ben, when we
18	talked Ben, you can chime in, too, since
19	we talked about this. You know, we had four
20	criterions. We got now three. So it makes
21	it a little more doable.
22	And then on top of that, we made a
23	hybrid, so we have got the co-op program with
24	the citizens, if they want to like if they
25	are a property owner and their sidewalks look